## EXHIBIT 11

## Dr. Henry's Supplement to the Henry X-ray Study

Below are two tables based on the results of my x-ray study described in my June 11, 2006 report. The first table identifies B-readers who read more than 15 films in the ILO Firms Sample and had a high percentage of over-reads compared to the findings of the three independent readers. The second chart identifies the law firms that submitted the most films in the ILO Firms Sample and the number of those films that were over-read compared to the independent panel. In reviewing the analysis of claimants' b-reader classification of claimant chest films and their comparison to the classifications of the same films by three independent b-readers, one is obviously struck by the disparity in the readings. However the scale and magnitude of the discrepancies is so profound to the point where I would have serious doubts regarding the accuracy of the claimants' doctors' readings and would not consider these readers' reads to be reliable for classification purposes. Certainly, skepticism is warranted. There are perhaps several factors which may be at play in the magnitude of the discrepancy. Further investigation will be necessary to hopefully explain the scale of the differences.

Claimant B-Reader	Number of Readings	Profusion ≥1/0	
		% of Readings	% Over-read*
Eliasson, Orn	62	70.97	59.68
Colella, Mark	50	92	78
Gaziano, Dominic	41	87.8	80.48
Ballard, James	40	97.5	95
Anderson, Henry	34	64.71	52.94
Harron, Ray	24	91.67	83.33
Scutero, James	21	100	100
Lucas, Philip	20	100	100
Smith, Henry	18	88.89	88.89
Segarra, Jay	17	94.12	94.12
Fisher, Stephens	15	86.66	86.66

Law Firm	Number of Readings	Profusion ≥1/0	
		% of Readings	% Overread*
Peter G Angelos	115	78.26	71.3
Cascino Vaughan	67	43,28	35.82
Motley Rice	50	90.00	84.00
Waters & Kraus	49	91.84	79,59
Morris & Sakalarios	45	100	93.33
Foster & Sear	36	94.44	91.66
Goldberg Persky & White	23	82.61	78.26
Zamler, Mellen & Shiffman	13	92.31	92.31
James F Humphreys	11	90.91	81.82

<sup>\* %</sup> Overread = [((# Claimant prof≥1/0) - (# Consensus prof≥1/0)) / # Read] x 100 Consensus = majority reading of the 3 independent Study B-Readers

Daniel A. Henry, M.D., F.A.C.R.

July 26, 2007